arXiv:1608.05883v1 [physics.comp-ph] 21 Aug 2016

A new insight into the consistency of smoothed particle hydrodynamics

Leonardo Di G. Sigalotti[a,*], Otto Rendón[b,c], Jaime Klapp[d,e], Carlos A. Vargas[a], Kilver Campos[b]

[a] *Área de Física de Procesos Irreversibles, Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Azcapotzalco (UAM-A), Av. San Pablo 180, 02200 Mexico City, Mexico*

[b] *Centro de Física, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, IVIC, Apartado Postal 20632, Caracas 1020-A, Venezuela*

[c] *Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias y Tecnología, Universidad de Carabobo (UC), Valencia, Estado Carabobo, Venezuela*

[d] *Departamento de Física, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Nucleares (ININ), Carretera México-Toluca km. 36.5, La Marquesa, 52750 Ocoyoacac, Estado de México, Mexico*

[e] *ABACUS-Centro de Matemáticas Aplicadas y Cómputo de Alto Rendimiento, Departamento de Matemáticas, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (Cinvestav-IPN), Carretera México-Toluca km. 38.5, La Marquesa, 52740 Ocoyoacac, Estado de México, Mexico*

Abstract

In this paper the problem of consistency of smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) is solved. A novel error analysis is developed in n-dimensional space using the Poisson summation formula, which enables the treatment of the kernel and particle approximation errors in combined fashion. New consistency integral relations are derived for the particle approximation which correspond to the cosine Fourier transform of the classically known consistency conditions for the kernel approximation. The functional dependence of the error bounds on the SPH interpolation parameters, namely the smoothing length h and the number of particles within the kernel support $\mathcal{N}$ is demonstrated explicitly from which consistency conditions are seen to follow naturally. As

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: leonardo.sigalotti@gmail.com (Leonardo Di G. Sigalotti), ottorendon@gmail.com (Otto Rendón), jaime.klapp@inin.gob.mx (Jaime Klapp), carlovax@gmail.com (Carlos A. Vargas), kilvercampos@gmail.com (Kilver Campos)

$\mathcal{N} \to \infty$, the particle approximation converges to the kernel approximation independently of h provided that the particle mass scales with h as $m \propto h^\beta$, with $\beta > n$. This implies that as $h \to 0$, the joint limit $m \to 0$, $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$, and $N \to \infty$ is necessary for complete convergence to the continuum, where N is the total number of particles. The analysis also reveals the presence of a dominant error term of the form $(\ln \mathcal{N})^n/\mathcal{N}$, which tends asymptotically to $1/\mathcal{N}$ when $\mathcal{N} \gg 1$, as it has long been conjectured based on the similarity between the SPH and the quasi-Monte Carlo estimates.

Keywords: Particle methods; Consistency; Poisson formula; Numerical integration; Error analysis and interval analysis; Convergence and divergence of infinite limiting processes

---

1. Introduction

Smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) is a Lagrangian particle-based method that has emerged in recent years as a promising numerical technique for the simulation of complex fluid flows as well as a large variety of problems in computational mechanics and related areas. Given the widespread use of SPH today, a complete understanding of the errors is mandatory to account for the lack of consistency that the SPH approximation typically experiences. The mathematical concept of consistency is related to how closely the numerical discrete equations approximate the exact equations. In other words, consistency is a measure of the local truncation error. Although significant progress has been done over the years to restore SPH consistency (i.e., exact interpolation of low-order polynomials) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and investigate the truncation errors [8, 9, 10, 11, 12], their actual nature remains poorly understood. It is still unclear how the second-order accuracy noted by many authors for the continuous kernel approximation translates into the full discrete form, making a difficult task to provide simple general statements about the accuracy and convergence of SPH.

In this article we provide a completely new mathematical analysis to investigate the truncation errors carried by the SPH estimate of a function using the Poisson summation formula, which is valid for all test functions $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [13]. Here $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the n-dimensional Euclidean space, where the length of vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is defined by the Euclidean norm $\| \mathbf{x} \| := \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$. Let us also denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ the field of all integer numbers. The following definitions on the function spaces and compact support of a

function are used.

Definition 1 (Continuous function spaces).

1. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Schwartz space of all infinitely continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with fast decay at infinity along with all derivatives.
2. $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is also a subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., the dual space of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is the space of all smooth functions with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Every function of $\mathcal{D}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 2 (Compact support of a function). The support of a function $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that is locally integrable in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the closure $\Gamma \in \text{supp}(f)$ of the set of points $\mathbf{x}$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$.

The analysis provides expressions for the error bounds of the SPH estimate of a function that are valid for unevenly distributed set of particles. These expressions account for consistency and solve for the dependence of the error on the SPH interpolation parameters, namely the smoothing length, the number of particles within the compact support of the kernel interpolation function, and the particle separation distances. For example, little information exists in the literature on the influence of both particle spacing and disorder on the errors. This article is organized as follows. A formal SPH interpolation theory is first described in Section 2, where key mathematical constructs are introduced for use in the error analysis. The analysis begins in Section 3 for the simplest case of SPH approximations in one-space dimension ($n = 1$) and is then extended to n-dimensions in Section 4. In both cases, bounds on the error terms are derived from which consistency conditions are seen to follow naturally. Finally, Section 5 contains the conclusions.

2. SPH interpolation theory

The SPH interpolation involves a two step process. The first is known as the *kernel* approximation and the second is known as the *particle* approximation [14].

2.1. Kernel approximation

Using ideas from distribution theory, the kernel approximation is built up from the Dirac-δ sampling property by approximating the Dirac-δ distribution with a continuous kernel function W such that

$$\langle f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \int_{\Omega_n} f(\mathbf{x}')W(\parallel \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \parallel, h)d^n\mathbf{x}', \tag{1}$$

where

$$\int_{\Omega_n} W(\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \|, h) d^n \mathbf{x}' = 1, \tag{2}$$

$\Omega_n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the spatial domain, and h is the width of the kernel, most commonly known as the smoothing length. The notation $\langle f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ is used to denote the kernel estimate of $f(\mathbf{x})$. The kernel function satisfying relation (2) must be positive definite, symmetric, monotonically decreasing, and tend to $\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$ as $h \to 0$ so that $\langle f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \to f(\mathbf{x})$. Suitable kernels must also have a compact support so that $W \neq 0$ if $\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \| \leq kh$, where k is some integer that specifies the support of the kernel.

With the use of Taylor series expansions, many authors have noted that the kernel approximation (1) has a leading second-order error $O(h^2)$ when h is not in the limit. Recently, it has also been noted that the scaling relation

$$W(\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \|, h) = \frac{1}{h^n} W(\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \|, 1), \tag{3}$$

holds for any SPH kernel function [15]. The same is also true for the gradient of the kernel ∇W. This relation has important implications for the kernel consistency (integral) relations associated with the error terms and leads to the following form of the series Taylor expansion for the kernel estimate (1)

$$\langle f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{h^l}{l!} \nabla_h^{(l)} f(\mathbf{x}) :: \cdots : \int_{\Omega_n} (\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x})^l W(\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \|, 1) d^n \mathbf{x}', \tag{4}$$

after making $\mathbf{x} \to h\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}' \to h\mathbf{x}'$, where $\nabla_h^{(l)}$ denotes the product of the ∇ operator l times with respect to coordinates $h\mathbf{x}$, "$:: \cdots :$" denotes the lth-order inner product, $(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x})^l$ is a tensor of rank l, and for simplicity we have set $f(\mathbf{x})$ instead of $f(h\mathbf{x})$. Two important implications follow from Eq. (4). First, exact interpolation of a polynomial of order m (i.e., consistency C^m) can be obtained if the family of consistency relations (or moments of the kernel) [5]

$$\mathbf{M}_l = \int_{\Omega_n} (\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x})^l W(\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \|, 1) d^n \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{0}^{(l)}, \tag{5}$$

are exactly fulfilled for $l = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, where $\mathbf{0}^{(1)} = (0, 0, 0)$ is the null vector and $\mathbf{0}^{(l)}$ is the zero tensor of rank l. Second, the consistency integral relations are actually independent of h by virtue of the scaling relation (3).

C^0 consistency of the kernel approximation is always guaranteed because of the normalization condition (2), while relations (5) are always satisfied for $l = 1$ due to the symmetry of the kernel and therefore C^1 consistency is also automatically ensured. The same is true for all odd $l \geq 3$. Only for l even the integrals (5) contribute with finite sources of error unless $W(\parallel \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \parallel, 1) \rightarrow \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$. The second-order error follows from the $l = 2$ non-vanishing term in the expansion (4). Using Eq. (1) it follows that [15]

$$\mathbf{M}_2 = \langle \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \neq \mathbf{0}^{(2)}, \tag{6}$$

provided that consistencies C^0 and C^1 are achieved. This term is just the variance of the position of the interpolation points (particles) and is a measure of the spread in position relative to the mean. Thus C^2 consistency is not achieved by the kernel approximation unless $W(\parallel \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}' \parallel, 1) \rightarrow \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$.

A similar analysis for the kernel estimate of the gradient, namely

$$\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \int_{\Omega_n} f(\mathbf{x}') \nabla W(\parallel \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \parallel, h) d^n\mathbf{x}', \tag{7}$$

leads to the Taylor series expansion

$$\langle \nabla_h f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{(l-1)}}{l!} \nabla_h^{(l)} f(\mathbf{x}) :: \cdots : \int_{\Omega_n} (\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x})^l \nabla W(\parallel \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \parallel, 1) d^n\mathbf{x}', \tag{8}$$

where the moments of the kernel gradient must satisfy the following conditions to achieve C^m consistency

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_0' &= \int_{\Omega_n} \nabla W(\parallel \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \parallel, 1) d^n\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{M}_1' &= \int_{\Omega_n} (\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}) \nabla W(\parallel \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \parallel, 1) d^n\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{I}, \\ \mathbf{M}_l' &= \int_{\Omega_n} (\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x})^l \nabla W(\parallel \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \parallel, 1) d^n\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{0}^{(l+1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for $l = 2, 3, \cdots, m$, where $\mathbf{I}$ is the unit tensor.

2.2. Particle approximation

If the spatial domain Ω_n is divided into N sub-domains, labeled Ω_a, each of which encloses an interpolation point (or particle) a at position $\mathbf{x}_a \in \Omega_a$,

the discrete equivalent of Eq. (1) is defined by

$$f_a = \sum_{b=1}^{\mathcal{N}} f_b W_{ab} \Delta V_b, \tag{10}$$

where $W_{ab} = W(\parallel \mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b \parallel, h)$, ΔV_b is the volume of sub-domain Ω_b, and the summation is over $\mathcal{N}$ points within the support of the kernel of spherical volume $\mathcal{V}_n = B_n(kh)^n/n$, where $B_n = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)$ is the solid angle in n-dimensional Euclidean space subtended by the complete $(n-1)$-dimensional spherical surface and Γ is the Gamma function. This gives the exact result of 4π steradians for $n = 3$. In general, the summation interpolant (10) refers to $\mathcal{N}$ non-uniformly distributed points and therefore the volumes ΔV_b may not be the same for all points. In almost all SPH applications the volume ΔV_b in Eq. (10) is replaced by m_b/ρ_b, where m_b and ρ_b are the mass and density of particle b, respectively. Fulk [16] derived through the use of Taylor series expansions error bounds for the SPH approximation (10) and proved the following:

Lemma 1 (Consistency for the SPH Approximation). *Given a function, $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and given a kernel interpolation function, W, that is symmetric, positive-definite, normalized, and has compact support, the SPH approximation* (10) *is consistent with the identity operator, $If(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$, under the uniform norm,*

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel Sf - If \parallel_\infty &= \parallel Kf - If + Sf - Kf \parallel_\infty \\ &\leq \parallel Kf - If \parallel_\infty + \parallel Sf - Kf \parallel_\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

provided that ΔV_b is equal to m_b/ρ_b, where K and S denote the kernel and the SPH operators defined by Eqs. (1) *and* (10)*, respectively.*

Fulk proved that in the limit of vanishing inter-particle distances $\parallel Sf - Kf \parallel_\infty \to 0$, while $\parallel Kf - If \parallel_\infty \to 0$ as $h \to 0$. *Lemma 1* is valid in any dimension. Similar conclusions follow for the SPH approximation of the gradient of a function. However, a definition of consistency for the particle approximation based solely on the equivalence $\Delta V_b \to m_b/\rho_b$ is incomplete because in general the integral conditions (2) and (5) in discrete form are not

exactly satisfied, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{M}_0 = \sum_{b=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{m_b}{\rho_b} W_{ab} \neq 1, \tag{12}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_l = \sum_{b=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{m_b}{\rho_b} (\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_a)^l W_{ab} \neq \mathbf{0}^{(l)}, \text{ for } l = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \tag{13}$$

The same is true for the discrete form of the integral relations (9), implying complete loss of consistency due to the particle approximation. Considering the analogy between quasi-Monte Carlo and SPH particle estimates, Monaghan [17] first conjectured that for low-discrepancy sequences of particles, as is indeed the case in SPH simulations, the error carried by the particle approximation is $O((\ln \mathcal{N})^n/\mathcal{N})$.

More recently, the complexity of error behavior in SPH has been highlighted by Quinlan et al. [9] and Vaughan et al. [10]. The former authors used the second Euler-MacLaurin formula to estimate this error for regular one-dimensional distributions, finding that as $h \to 0$ while maintaining constant the ratio of particle spacing to smoothing length, $\Delta x/h$, the error decays as h^2 until a limiting discretization error is reached, which is independent of h. If $\Delta x \to 0$ while maintaining h constant, the error decays at a rate which depends on the kernel smoothness. With the use of Taylor series expansions, Vaughan et al. [10] showed that if C^0 consistency is not achieved the error is $O(f(\mathcal{N}))$, which does not converge with h, whereas if C^1 consistency is achieved the error goes as $O(h^2 f(\mathcal{N}))$. They concluded that if $f(\mathcal{N}) \sim (\ln \mathcal{N})^n/\mathcal{N}$, an analytical solution for the functional dependence of the total number of particles N on h cannot be obtained. However, recently Zhu et al. [18] derived the parameterizations $h \propto N^{-1/\beta}$ and $\mathcal{N} \propto N^{1-3/\beta}$ for $\beta \in [5, 7]$ based on a balance between the kernel and the particle approximation errors. For $\beta = 6$, this gives $h \propto N^{-1/6}$ and $\mathcal{N} \propto N^{1/2}$. These scaling relations comply with the joint limit $N \to \infty$, $h \to 0$, $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$ as a necessary condition to achieve full particle consistency. However, the systematic increase of the number of neighbors $\mathcal{N}$ with the total number of particle N demands changing the interpolation kernel to a compactly supported Wendland-type function [19], which, unlike traditional kernels, is free from the so-called pairing instability when working with large numbers of neighbors [20].

In this article the Poisson summation formula is used to derive the functional dependence of the SPH error on the interpolation parameters for un-

evenly distributed particles in n-dimensions. The connection between the quasi-Monte Carlo and the SPH errors as was conjectured by Monaghan [17] is solved. The scaling relations advanced by Zhu et al. [18] are seen to follow as a natural consequence of *Lemma 1* provided that the particle mass scales with the smoothing length as h^β with $\beta > n$.

3. SPH errors in one-space dimension

For the sake of simplicity and clarity, the analysis is first performed in one dimension for an irregularly distributed set of particles on the real line. Let $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be a test function and $\hat{\phi}$ its Fourier transform $\hat{\phi}(j) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \exp(-i2\pi jx)dx$, where $\hat{\phi}$ also belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. The distributional relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(b) &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(j) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(b)db + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(b)\cos(2\pi jb)db, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

defines the Poisson summation formula, where on the left side $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, while on the right side $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Setting $\phi(b) = f_b W_{ab} \Delta x_b$ in the summation on the left side of Eq. (14) and using *Lemma 1*, yields

$$\sum_{b=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(b) \to f_a = \sum_{b=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{m_b}{\rho_b} f_b W_{ab}. \tag{15}$$

Now setting $\phi(b) = (m_b/\rho_b) f(x_b) W(|x_a - x_b|, h)$, the right side of Eq. (14) can be written in terms of the finite integrals

$$\begin{aligned} f_a &= \int_{\Omega_1} f(x_b) W(|x_a - x_b|, h) \frac{m_b}{\rho_b} db \\ &+ 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_1} f(x_b) W(|x_a - x_b|, h) \cos(2\pi jb) \frac{m_b}{\rho_b} db, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\Omega_1 \in \text{supp}(W) = [x_a - kh, x_a + kh]$. The first integral on the right side of Eq. (16) is the kernel approximation of f at point $x = x_a$ provided

that the equivalence holds

$$dx_b = \frac{m_b}{\rho_b} db, \tag{17}$$

which is the one-dimensional differential form of *Lemma 1*, relating the position of a particle to its label. Integration of relation (17) over the interval $[x_a - kh, x_a + kh]$ yields

$$b(x_a + kh) - b(x_a - kh) = \int_{x_a - kh}^{x_a + kh} \frac{\rho(x)}{m(x)} dx, \tag{18}$$

where $m_b = m(x_b)$ and $\rho_b = \rho(x_b)$. Note that for a set of equidistant points with spacing Δ, the above relation reduces to $x_b = b\Delta$ and the Poisson formula for a uniform distribution is recovered. Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between the particle position and its label, the function $x_b = x(b)$ is bijective.

Expressing $f(x_b)$ in terms of its Taylor series expansion about x_a and inserting the result in Eq. (16) yields the error $\mathcal{E}_S$ between the value of the exact function $f(x_a)$ and its particle approximation f_a, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_S &= f_a - f(x_a) = \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{h^l f_h^{(l)}(x_a)}{l!} \int_{\Omega_1} (x_b - x_a)^l W(|x_a - x_b|, 1) dx_b \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^l f_h^{(l)}(x_a)}{l!} \int_{\Omega_1} (x_b - x_a)^l W(|x_a - x_b|, 1) \cos(2\pi j b) dx_b, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where relation (3) for $n = 1$ has been used. According to the expansion (4), the first sum on the right side of Eq. (19) is the error $\mathcal{E}_K = \langle f(x_a) \rangle - f(x_a)$ between the exact function and its kernel estimate, while the second sum is the deviation of the particle approximation from the kernel estimate $\mathcal{E}_{SK} = f_a - \langle f(x_a) \rangle$. The first new result from inspection of Eq. (19) is that the particle approximation contributes with error terms that are proportional to the cosine Fourier transform of the integral consistency relations (5). Since the kernel is a symmetric function, only those terms with l even will contribute to the error. Relation (19) has never been derived before and is all we need to establish the correct consistency constraints for both the kernel and the particle approximations.

The number of neighbors of particle a within the support of the kernel can be defined by the floor function

$$\mathcal{N}(x_a, h) = [b(x_a + kh) - b(x_a - kh)] + \eta, \tag{20}$$

where $\eta = 1$ if x_a is an interpolation point and $\eta = 0$ otherwise. From Eq. (18), the above definition is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{N}(x_a, h) = \int_{x_a - kh}^{x_a + kh} \frac{\rho(x)}{m(x)} dx. \tag{21}$$

It is easy to show from Eq. (20) that when $h \to 0$, $\mathcal{N}(x_a, h) = 2(db/dx_a)kh + O(h^3)$. Using relation (17), this implies that

$$\mathcal{N}(x_a, h) = 2\frac{\rho(x_a)}{m(x_a)} kh + O(h^3). \tag{22}$$

In the limit $h \to 0$, the above expression predicts that $\mathcal{N}(x_a, h) \to 0$. Since a necessary condition to achieve full particle consistency is that $\mathcal{N}(x_a, h) \to \infty$ as $h \to 0$ and $N \to \infty$ [18], satisfaction of this joint limit demands that the ratio $\rho(x_a)/m(x_a) \sim h^{-\beta}$ with $\beta > 1$. Since the density is an intensive physical variable, it cannot explicitly depend on h. Therefore, the above scaling translates into the requirement that the particle mass scales with h as h^β (with $\beta > 1$) in order to ensure that $\mathcal{N}(x_a, h) \to \infty$ as $h \to 0$ in Eq. (22). This implies the further important limit $m \to 0$ as $h \to 0$ as a condition for consistency of the particle approximation. From the above scaling for the particle mass it follows that $\mathcal{N} \propto h^{1-\beta}$, which is the one-dimensional equivalent of the scaling $\mathcal{N} \propto h^{3-\beta}$ (with $\beta > 3$) proposed by Zhu et al. [18] in three dimensions.

The double summation on the right side of Eq. (19) represents the discretization errors implied by the particle approximation and by itself can be defined as the deviation of the particle from the kernel estimate: $\mathcal{E}_{SK} = f_a - \langle f(x_a) \rangle$. For any infinitely differentiable function $f(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the limit $\mathcal{E}_{SK} \to 0$ is achieved only if

$$\begin{aligned} M_l^F &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_1} (x_b - x_a)^l W(|x_a - x_b|, 1) \cos(2\pi j b) dx_b \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{N} \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{[\mathcal{N}/2kh]} \int_{\Omega_1} (x_b - x_a)^l W(|x_a - x_b|, 1) \cos(2\pi j b) dx_b \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$\forall l$ even with $l \geq 0$. The notation "$[p]$" means the largest positive integer less or equal to p. These relations represent particle consistency conditions for the Fourier transform of the moments of the kernel. In actual simulations the contribution of these integrals can be neglected only if $\cos(2\pi jb)$ oscillates very rapidly within $\text{supp}(W) = [x_a - kh, x_a + kh]$, i.e., when

$$[b(x_a + kh) - b(x_a - kh)] + \eta = \mathcal{N}(x_a, h) > \frac{1}{j}, \tag{24}$$

which implies $\mathcal{N}(x_a, h) > 1$ since if inequality (24) holds for $j = 1$, it will also hold for any $j \geq 2$.

3.1. Error bounds

The error of the kernel approximation of $f(x)$ at the position of particle a is given by the first summation on the right side of Eq. (19). Bounds on this error have been previously derived by Fulk [16]. However, a derivation is repeated in Appendix A under the uniform norm

$$\| Kf - If \|_\infty = \| \mathcal{E}_K \|_\infty = \sup_{x_b \in \Omega_1} |\mathcal{E}_K|, \tag{25}$$

by retaining only second-order terms in the summation. The result is

$$|\mathcal{E}_K| \leq e_r^{(2)} h^2, \tag{26}$$

which implies second-order accuracy for the kernel approximation for any finite value of h. A higher order error is also possible if a kernel that has higher order vanishing even moments is used.

The second summation on the right side of Eq. (19) gives the error of the particle relative to the kernel approximation. As for the kernel approximation, bounds on this error are also derived under the uniform norm

$$\| Sf - Kf \|_\infty = \| \mathcal{E}_{KS} \|_\infty = \sup_{x_b \in \Omega_1} |\mathcal{E}_{KS}|. \tag{27}$$

The result of this analysis is

$$|\mathcal{E}_{KS}| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} a_0 k h^{l+1} \tilde{e}_r^{(l)} \left(\lim_{\mathcal{N} \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}'} \frac{1}{j} \right). \tag{28}$$

where $\mathcal{N}' = [\mathcal{N}/2kh]$, a_0 is an upper bound for the kernel function, and $\tilde{e}_r^{(l)}$ is defined in Appendix B, where the intermediate steps leading to the inequality

(28) are described. Applying the Cauchy condensation test for the harmonic series, the limit between parentheses can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\mathcal{N}\to\infty}\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}'}\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}'}\frac{1}{j} &= \lim_{\mathcal{N}\to\infty}\left[\frac{\gamma}{\mathcal{N}'}+\frac{\ln(1+\mathcal{N}')}{\mathcal{N}'}\right]\\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{N}\to\infty}\left[\frac{\gamma}{\mathcal{N}'}+\frac{\ln\mathcal{N}'}{\mathcal{N}'}+\frac{\ln(1+1/\mathcal{N}')}{\mathcal{N}'}\right]\\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{N}\to\infty}\left[\frac{\gamma}{\mathcal{N}'}+\frac{\ln\mathcal{N}'}{\mathcal{N}'}+O(1/\mathcal{N}'^2)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where $\gamma = 0.5772\ldots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. The logarithmic term in the last equality of Eq. (29) provides the dominant error for any value of $\mathcal{N}'$. This term is just the theoretical upper bound of the quasi-Monte Carlo method for low-discrepancy sets of points. When $\mathcal{N} \gg 1$, $\ln\mathcal{N}'/\mathcal{N}' \to 1/\mathcal{N}'$, and Eq. (29) admits the asymptotic form

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}'}\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}'}\frac{1}{j} = \frac{(1+\gamma)}{\mathcal{N}'} + O\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}'^2}\right). \tag{30}$$

Note that even though h becomes very small as $\mathcal{N}\to\infty$, in this limit also $\mathcal{N}'\to\infty$ because according to the scaling relation $\mathcal{N}\propto h^{1-\beta}$ ($\beta>1$), $\mathcal{N}$ varies in the limit much faster than h. Inserting this result into Eq. (29) and retaining terms up to $l=2$ in the expansion yields

$$|\mathcal{E}_{KS}| \le \frac{2(1+\gamma)a_0 k}{\pi\mathcal{N}}\left(h\tilde{e}_r^{(0)} + h^2\tilde{e}_r^{(1)}\right). \tag{31}$$

This shows that in the limit $\mathcal{N}\to\infty$, the particle discretization error vanishes ($\mathcal{E}_{KS}\to 0$) and so $f_a \to \langle f(x_a)\rangle$, i.e., the particle estimate of the function approaches the kernel estimate for any value of h.

From inequalities (11), (26), and (31) it follows that the error bound for the whole SPH approximation under the uniform norm is

$$\begin{aligned}\parallel Sf - If \parallel_\infty &\le |\mathcal{E}_K| + |\mathcal{E}_{KS}|\\ &\le \frac{2(1+\gamma)a_0 k}{\pi\mathcal{N}}\left(h\tilde{e}_r^{(0)} + h^2\tilde{e}_r^{(1)}\right) + h^2 e_r^{(2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

which expresses the important result that complete SPH consistency can be guaranteed only when $\mathcal{N}\to\infty$ and $h\to 0$ provided that $N\to\infty$ and $m\to 0$ as well. This completes the statement of *Lemma 1*.

4. SPH errors in n-dimensional space

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a crystalline lattice and $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function of locally finite support Γ belonging to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The distributional Fourier transform of Φ, namely $\hat{\Phi}$, in the dual lattice $\Lambda^\star$ is given by the n-dimensional Poisson's formula [21]

$$\sum_{b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_n \in \Lambda} \Phi(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda^\star} \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{j}), \tag{33}$$

where the n-plet of integers $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)$, with $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$, denotes the projections of the lattice node (or particle) labels $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the axes of an n-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n)$. Setting the summation on the left side of Eq. (33) equal to the summation on the right of Eq. (10) for the SPH approximation of a function $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ at $\mathbf{x}_a$, Poisson's formula becomes

$$f_a = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda^\star} \int_{\Omega_n} f(\mathbf{x}_b) W(\parallel \mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b \parallel, h) \exp(-i2\pi \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \frac{m_b}{\rho_b} d^n\mathbf{b}, \tag{34}$$

where now $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Omega_n \in \mathrm{supp}(W)$ is the integration domain in n-dimensional Euclidean space. Since $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}_b)$ is bijective, it admits the inverse $\mathbf{x}_b = \mathbf{x}_b(\mathbf{b})$, which in differential form becomes $d^n\mathbf{x}_b = \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{x}_b}(\mathbf{b}) d^n\mathbf{b}$, where $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{x}_b}(\mathbf{b})$ is the Jacobian matrix of the transformation and

$$|\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{x}_b}(\mathbf{b})| = \left| \frac{\partial(x_{b_1}, x_{b_2}, \ldots, x_{b_n})}{\partial(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)} \right| = \frac{m_b}{\rho_b}, \tag{35}$$

is its determinant. This is the generalization of the differential form (17) in multiple dimensions.

Using the Taylor series expansion (4) with $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x}_b$ and $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_a$ to express $f(\mathbf{x}_b)$ in terms of $f(\mathbf{x}_a)$ in Eq. (34) gives for the error between the particle approximation and the exact value of the function at the location of particle a the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_S^{(n)} &= f_a - f(\mathbf{x}_a) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{h^l}{l!} \nabla_h^{(l)} f(\mathbf{x}_a) :: \cdots : \int_{\Omega_n} (\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_a)^l W(\parallel \mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b \parallel, 1) d^n\mathbf{x}_b \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda^\star \\ \mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{0}}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^l}{l!} \nabla_h^{(l)} f(\mathbf{x}_a) :: \cdots : \mathbf{M}_l^F(\mathbf{j}), \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ is the n-dimensional null vector and

$$\mathbf{M}_l^F(\mathbf{j}) = \int_{\Omega_n} (\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_a)^l W(\parallel \mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b \parallel, 1) \exp(-i2\pi \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{b}) d^n \mathbf{x}_b. \tag{37}$$

The number of neighbors of particle a within the spherical support of the kernel is therefore defined by

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_a, h) = \int_{\Omega_n} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{m(\mathbf{x}, h)} d^n \mathbf{x}, \tag{38}$$

which for $h \ll 1$ obeys the asymptotic expansion

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_a, h) = \frac{B_n}{n} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}_a)}{m(\mathbf{x}_a, h)} k^n h^n + O(h^{n+2}), \tag{39}$$

where for $n = 1$, $B_n = B_1 = 2$ and the asymptotic form (39) reduces to the one-dimensional expression (22). From Eq. (39) it follows that the limit $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$ as $h \to 0$ is satisfied only if the particle mass scales with h as h^β, with $\beta > n$. This reproduces the scaling $\mathcal{N} \propto h^{3-\beta}$ for $n = 3$ proposed by Zhu et al. [18]. Therefore, in n-dimensional space, the scaling relations $m \propto h^\beta$ and $\mathcal{N} \propto h^{n-\beta}$ are necessary conditions to guarantee complete particle consistency in the limit $h \to 0$. There is a subtle point behind this scaling: as the volume of the kernel support collapses in the limit $h \to 0$ to a point with no size at all, the mass associated with the collapsing support must necessarily tends to zero in order to preserve the finiteness of the density at that point. In this limit $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{V}_n \to N/V$ as $N \to \infty$, where V is the finite volume of the system. Since $N \to V\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{V}_n \sim h^{-\beta}$, $N \to \infty$ faster than $\mathcal{N}$ as $h \to 0$, i.e., in the transition from the discrete to the continuous space.

A further parameter that characterizes the SPH interpolation procedure is the distance between particles $\Delta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$, which provides a measure of their actual distribution within the support of the kernel. If there exist $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}, h)$ particles within $\Omega_n \in \mathrm{supp}(W)$, then there will be $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}, h)[\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}, h) - 1]/2$ possible distances $\Delta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$ between pair of particles, which for an irregularly distributed set will be bounded as

$$\Delta_{\min} \leq \Delta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) \leq \Delta_{\max}, \tag{40}$$

where $\Delta_{\min}$ and $\Delta_{\max}$ are, respectively, the minimum and maximum distances. The mean distance Δ_{m} is given by

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{m}} = \left[\frac{\mathcal{V}_n}{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}, h)} \right]^{1/n}, \tag{41}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_n = B_n (kh)^n / n$ is the spherical volume of the kernel support.

4.1. Error Bounds

Error bounds for the full SPH approximation in n-dimensional space can be determined under the uniform norm (11) in terms of the sum of the difference between the kernel approximation of a function and its exact value, $\mathcal{E}_K^{(n)}$, given by the first summation on the right side of Eq. (36), and the difference between the kernel and the particle approximations, $\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}$, represented by the second summation on the right side of Eq. (36).

The bound of $\mathcal{E}_K^{(n)}$ is derived in Appendix C for completeness and the result is given by the inequality

$$\left|\mathcal{E}_K^{(n)}\right| \leq e_r^{(2,n)} h^2, \tag{42}$$

whose second-order accuracy in h is not affected by the dimension.

In order to derive a bound for $\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}$ let us assume for simplicity that the crystalline lattice Λ is a cube in n-dimensions and that the particles within the cube are unevenly distributed in a low-discrepancy sequence. Although in SPH applications the domains can have a variety of geometrical shapes, the assumption of a cube does not entail a loss of generality. The dual lattice $\Lambda^\star$ is also an n-dimensional cube with finite spectrum [21]. As is demonstrated in Appendix D, the error bound for the particle approximation in n-dimensions has the form

$$\left|\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}\right| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{\pi} \frac{a_0 k^n h^{l+n}}{n\mathcal{N}} \tilde{e}_r^{(l,n)} \prod_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{j_s=1}^{\mathcal{N}'} \frac{1}{j_s}, \tag{43}$$

where $\mathcal{N}' = [\mathcal{N}/2kh_s]$, j_s is the sth component of the wave vector $\mathbf{j}$, and h_s is the projection of h on the sth-axis of an n-dimensional Cartesian system. Noting that $\mathcal{N}' = 1/\Delta_s$, where Δ_s is the minimum mean distance Δ_{m} projected on the sth-axis of the n-dimensional Cartesian system, the harmonic series can be written as

$$\sum_{j_s=1}^{\mathcal{N}'} \frac{1}{j_s} \to \sum_{j_s=1}^{[1/\Delta_s]} \frac{1}{j_s} = \gamma_{(1/\Delta_s)} + \ln(1 + 1/\Delta_s). \tag{44}$$

In the limit $\Delta_s \to 0$ (i.e., $\mathcal{N}' \to \infty$)

$$\lim_{\Delta_s \to 0} [\gamma_{(1/\Delta_s)} + \ln(1 + 1/\Delta_s)] = \gamma - \ln \Delta_s, \tag{45}$$

and inequality (43) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}\right| &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{2^n}{\pi}\frac{a_0 k^n h^{l+n}}{n\mathcal{N}}\tilde{e}_r^{(l,n)}\prod_{s=1}^{n}(\gamma-\ln\Delta_s)\\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{2^n}{\pi}\frac{a_0 k^n h^{l+n}}{n\mathcal{N}}\tilde{e}_r^{(l,n)}(\gamma-\ln\Delta_s)^n\\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{2^n}{\pi}\frac{a_0 h^l}{B_n}\tilde{e}_r^{(l,n)}[\Delta_{\mathrm{m}}(\gamma-\ln\Delta_s)]^n, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where definition (41) has been used in the last inequality. In general, for a highly disordered sequence of points $\Delta_s < \Delta_{\mathrm{m}}$. However, for low-discrepancy sequences, as it is indeed the case of interest in SPH, $\Delta_s \approx \Delta_{\mathrm{m}}$ and the term $q = -\Delta_{\mathrm{m}}\ln\Delta_{\mathrm{m}}$ provides a measure of the loss of information of the continuous field due to the SPH discretization. As long as $\Delta_m \to 0$, $q \to 0$ and the continuous information is recovered. This is the essence of the theorem of convergence of SPH. On the other hand, the term $q_R = -\Delta_{\mathrm{m}}\ln\Delta_s$ is a relative measure of the loss of information since it involves the projections of the mean distance on a straight line. Since the process of projection works on the way of reducing the information, it is desirable to operate on either equidistant or low-discrepancy sequences of sample points for which $\Delta_s \approx \Delta_{\mathrm{m}}$ rather than on arbitrarily disordered sequences where $\Delta_s < \Delta_{\mathrm{m}}$. This point is connected to the average case complexity of multivariate integration [22], where to derive the average case complexity an optimal choice of the sample points is needed in the computation of multivariate integrals. Evidently, optimal design is closely related to discrepancy theory.

Following algebraic steps similar to those in Eq. (29), it is easy to show that

$$(\gamma-\ln\Delta_s)^n = (\gamma+\ln\mathcal{N}')^n = \mathcal{N}'^n\left(\frac{\gamma}{\mathcal{N}'}+\frac{\ln\mathcal{N}'}{\mathcal{N}'}\right)^n, \tag{47}$$

which in the limit $\mathcal{N}' \to \infty$ becomes

$$(\gamma-\ln\Delta_s)^n \to (1+\gamma)^n. \tag{48}$$

Therefore, the bound on the particle approximation error takes the form

$$\left|\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}\right| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{2^n(1+\gamma)^n a_0 k^n h^{l+n}}{\pi n\mathcal{N}}\tilde{e}_r^{(l,n)}. \tag{49}$$

Retaining only the first two terms in the summation on the right side of inequality (49) and adding to these the bound on $\mathcal{E}_K^{(n)}$ as given by inequality (42), the error bound for the full SPH approximation in n-dimensions is

$$\begin{aligned} \| Sf - If \|_\infty &\leq |\mathcal{E}_K^{(n)}| + |\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}| \\ &\leq \frac{2^n(1+\gamma)^n a_0 k^n h^n}{\pi n \mathcal{N}} \left(\tilde{e}_r^{(0,n)} + h\tilde{e}_r^{(1,n)}\right) + h^2 e_r^{(2,n)}, \quad (50) \end{aligned}$$

which is the n-dimensional equivalent of the one-dimensional error bound (32). Based on this result, *Lemma 1* can be generalized as follows:

Lemma 2 (Consistency for the SPH Approximation). *Given a function, $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and given a kernel interpolation function, W, that is symmetric, positive-definite, normalized, and has compact support, the SPH approximation* (10) *is consistent according to the statement of Lemma 1 if the joint limit $m \to 0, \mathcal{N} \to \infty$, and $N \to \infty$ is satisfied as $h \to 0$, where m is the particle mass, $\mathcal{N}$ is the number of neighbors within the compact support of the kernel, N is the total number of particles, and h is the smoothing length.*

Lemma 2 is also valid for the gradient of a function. Following steps similar to those described here for the function estimate it can be demonstrated that the error bounds for the SPH estimate of the gradient obeys a dependence on the SPH parameters similar to that given by inequality (50).

5. Conclusions

A longstanding problem concerning the consistency and convergence of smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) is solved in this article. Because of the widespread use of SPH in science and engineering, the issue of SPH consistency has become a very hot and important topic of research. The method that is used to derive the exact functional dependence of the error bounds on the SPH interpolation parameters is based on the Poisson summation formula for kernels with a locally finite support. The results of the analysis not only clarify the issue of SPH consistency, but also permit assessing the accuracy of the SPH interpolation which has been thought to be a non-trivial problem.

The first important result from this analysis is that the Poisson summation formula enables the treatment of the kernel and particle approximation errors in combined form along with the fact that new consistency integral relations for the particle estimate follow as the cosine Fourier transform of

the classically known consistency relations for the kernel approximation. The functional dependence of the error bounds on the SPH parameters, namely the smoothing length, h, and the number of neighbors, $\mathcal{N}$, within the kernel support is derived explicitly from which consistency conditions are seen to follow naturally. In particular, as long as $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$, the particle approximation converges to the kernel approximation independently of h provided that the particle mass scales with h as $m \propto h^\beta$, with $\beta > n$, where n is the dimension. This implies that as $h \to 0$, the joint limit $m \to 0$, $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$, and $N \to \infty$ is necessary to restore complete consistency, where N is the total number of particles. The requirement that $m \to 0$ as $h \to 0$ leads to the scaling $\mathcal{N} \propto h^{n-\beta}$ as proposed by Zhu et al. [18]. A further important result is that a dominant error term of the form $(\ln\mathcal{N})^n/\mathcal{N}$ is revealed by the present analysis, which tends asymptotically to $1/\mathcal{N}$ when $\mathcal{N} \gg 1$, as was first conjectured by Monaghan [17] based on the similarity between the SPH and the quasi-Monte Carlo estimates.

In the light of the above results, the Poisson summation formula appears to be a powerful tool for the error analysis of particle methods involving the evaluation of quadratures, as is also the case of Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo schemes. On the other hand, use of the present method in the analysis of the full set of discrete fluid equations will allow to formally assess the accuracy and convergence of fluid-dynamics SPH simulations.

Appendix A. Error bound for the kernel approximation in one dimension

Using the kernel normalization condition (2) and recalling that the first moment ($l = 1$) of the kernel vanishes identically, the kernel approximation of $f(x)$ at the position of particle a follows from the first summation on the right side of Eq. (19) as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(x_a)\rangle &= f(x_a) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}h^2 f_h^{(2)}(x_a)\int_{\Omega_1}(x_b - x_a)^2 W(|x_a - x_b|, 1)dx_b \\ &+ O(h^4), \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

where only terms up to second order in h have been retained. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_K| &= \frac{1}{2}h^2 \left| f_h^{(2)}(\xi) \int_{\Omega_1} (x_b - \xi)^2 W(|\xi - x_b|, 1) dx_b \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}h^2 \left| f_h^{(2)}(\xi) \right| \int_{\Omega_1} \left| (x_b - \xi)^2 \right| W(|\xi - x_b|, 1) dx_b, \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

where $\xi \in \Omega_1$. Noting that $|(x_b - \xi)^2| \leq k^2$ and defining

$$e_r^{(2)} = \frac{k^2}{2} \sup_{\xi \in \Omega_1} \left| f_h^{(2)}(\xi) \right| \geq \frac{k^2}{2} \left| f_h^{(2)}(\xi) \right|, \tag{A.3}$$

the bound is

$$|\mathcal{E}_K| \leq e_r^{(2)} h^2 \int_{\Omega_1} W(|\xi - x_b|, 1) dx_b = e_r^{(2)} h^2. \tag{A.4}$$

Appendix B. Error bound for the particle approximation in one dimension

The double summation in the second term on the right side of Eq. (19) gives the error due to particle discretization and represents the difference $\mathcal{E}_{KS} = f_a - \langle f(x_a) \rangle$ between the particle approximation and the kernel estimate of $f(x)$ evaluated at the position of particle a. The steps involved in the derivation of inequality (28) are described here starting from the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{KS} &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^l f_h^{(l)}(x_a)}{l!} \\ &\times \int_{\Omega_1} (x_b - x_a)^l W(|x_a - x_b|, 1) \cos(2\pi j b) dx_b. \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

To obtain a bound on this error term first define

$$\tilde{e}_r^{(l)} = \frac{k^l}{l!} \sup_{\xi \in \Omega_1} \left| f_h^{(l)}(\xi) \right| \geq \frac{k^l}{l!} \left| f_h^{(l)}(\xi) \right|, \tag{B.2}$$

and $|(x_b - \xi)^l| \leq k^l$. Moreover, since any suitable kernel function achieves a maximum value at the position of the observation point, i.e., $\max\{W|(x_a -$

$x_b|,1)\} = W(0,1)$ when $x_b = x_a$, an upper bound for the kernel can be found from the power series expansion

$$W(|x_a - x_b|,1) = \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=1}^{\mathcal{N}} a_m |x_a - x_b|^m, \tag{B.3}$$

for $a_m > 0$. Thus, when $x_b = x_a$, $W(0,1) = a_0/2$ and therefore $W(|x_a - x_b|,1) \leq a_0/2$ for any $x_b \in \text{supp}(W)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_{KS}| &= 2\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^l f_h^{(l)}(\xi)}{l!}\int_{\Omega_1}(x_b-\xi)^l W(|\xi - x_b|,1)\cos(2\pi j b)dx_b\right| \\ &\leq 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^l}{l!}\left|f_h^{(l)}(\xi)\right|\int_{\Omega_1}\left|(x_b-\xi)^l\right| W(|\xi - x_b|,1)\left|\cos(2\pi j b)\right| dx_b \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_0 h^l \tilde{e}_r^{(l)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\int_{\Omega_1}\cos(2\pi j b)dx_b\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

The above integral is evaluated by demanding that $\cos(2\pi j b)$ varies rapidly within the domain Ω_1, in which case $b = b(x_b)$ can be expanded about ξ to produce the linear mapping

$$b(x_b) = b(\xi) + \left(\frac{db}{dx_b}\right)_{x_b=\xi}(x_b - \xi) + O[((x_b-\xi)^2], \tag{B.5}$$

where according to relations (17) and (22)

$$\left(\frac{db}{dx_b}\right)_{x_b=\xi} = \frac{\rho(\xi)}{m(\xi,h)} = \frac{\mathcal{N}(\xi,h)}{2kh}. \tag{B.6}$$

Replacing this into the above expansion, the cosine integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1}\cos(2\pi j b)dx_b &= \cos[2\pi j b(\xi)]\int_{\xi-kh}^{\xi+kh}\cos\left[\frac{2\pi}{P}x_{b\xi}\right]dx_b \\ &- \sin[2\pi j b(\xi)]\int_{\xi-kh}^{\xi+kh}\sin\left[\frac{2\pi}{P}x_{b\xi}\right]dx_b, \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

where $P = 2hk/j\mathcal{N}(\xi,h)$ and $x_{b\xi} = x_b - \xi$. If the integration interval is an integer multiple of P, i.e., $[\xi - kh, \xi + kh] = mP$, with $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, the sine

and cosine integrals vanish identically. Only when $[\xi - kh, \xi + kh] = P/m$, with $m = 2, 3, \ldots$, does the cosine integral become

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\xi-kh}^{\xi+kh} \cos\left[\frac{2\pi}{P} x_{b\xi}\right] dx_b &\rightarrow \int_{-P/2m}^{P/2m} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi y}{P}\right) dy \\ &= \frac{P}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

with a maximum value of P/π when $m = 2$, while the sine integral always vanishes. Therefore, a bound to the cosine integral can be found as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_1} \cos(2\pi j b) dx_b \right| &\leq |\cos[2\pi j b(\xi)]| \, \frac{2kh}{\pi j \mathcal{N}(\xi, h)} \\ &\leq \frac{2kh}{\pi j \mathcal{N}(\xi, h)}, \end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

which then leads to the inequality (28)

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{KS}}| &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} a_0 k h^{l+1} \tilde{e}_r^{(l)} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(\xi, h)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} a_0 k h^{l+1} \tilde{e}_r^{(l)} \left(\lim_{\mathcal{N}(\xi,h)\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(\xi, h)} \sum_{j=1}^{[\mathcal{N}(\xi,h)/2kh]} \frac{1}{j} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

Appendix C. Error bound for the kernel approximation in n-dimensions

An error bound for the kernel approximation in n-dimensions can be derived following essentially the same steps described in Appendix A for the one-dimensional case. The error made by the kernel approximation is given by the first summation on the right side of Eq. (36), which for convenience is written in the equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)} &= \langle f(\mathbf{x}_a) \rangle - f(\mathbf{x}_a) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} h^2 \int_{\Omega_n} \left[(\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_a) \cdot \nabla_h \right]^2 f(\mathbf{x}_a) W(\| \mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b \|, 1) d^n \mathbf{x}_b \\ &+ O(h^4), \end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

where only terms up to second order are retained.

To find a bound on the error define

$$(\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_a) \cdot \nabla_h = \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_h \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}_i \right| \leq nk|D|, \tag{C.2}$$

where $\xi \in \Omega_n$, and

$$e_r^{(2,n)} = \frac{k^2 n^2}{2} \sup_{\xi \in \Omega_n} \left| D^2 f(\xi) \right| \geq \frac{k^2 n^2}{2} \left| D^2 f(\xi) \right| \tag{C.3}$$

where the operator D^2 means any second-order derivative (pure or mixed). Once again, the error bound follows as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)} \right| &= \frac{1}{2} h^2 \left| \int_{\Omega_n} \left[\mathbf{x}_{b\xi} \cdot \nabla_h \right]^2 f(\xi) W(\| \mathbf{x}_{\xi b} \|, 1) d^n \mathbf{x}_b \right|, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} h^2 \int_{\Omega_n} \left| \left[\mathbf{x}_{b\xi} \cdot \nabla_h \right]^2 f(\xi) \right| W(\| (\mathbf{x}_{\xi b}) \|, 1) d^n \mathbf{x}_b, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} h^2 k^2 n^2 \left| D^2 f(\xi) \right| \int_{\Omega_n} W(\| \mathbf{x}_{\xi b} \|, 1) d^n \mathbf{x}_b, \\ &\leq e_r^{(2,n)} h^2, \end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{b\xi} = \mathbf{x}_b - \xi$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\xi b} = -\mathbf{x}_{b\xi}$.

Appendix D. Error bound for the particle approximation in n-dimensions

As mentioned in the main text, the error when passing from the kernel approximation to the particle approximation is quantified by the difference $\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}$ between the particle and the kernel estimates of a function $f(\mathbf{x})$ evaluated at the interpolation point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For a given particle a at $\mathbf{x}_a$, this difference is given by the double summation in Eq. (36), which for convenience is written in the equivalent form

$$\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda^\star \\ \mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{0}}}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^l}{l!} \int_{\Omega_n} \left[(\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_a) \cdot \nabla_h \right]^l f(\mathbf{x}_a) W \exp(-i 2\pi \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{b}) d^n \mathbf{x}_b, \tag{D.1}$$

where $W = W(\| \mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b \|, 1)$. To obtain a bound on this error define

$$\left[(\mathbf{x}_b - \xi) \cdot \nabla_h \right]^l = \left[\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_h \right]^l \leq \left[\sum_{i}^{n} \left| u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \right| \right]^l \leq n^l k^l |D^l f(\xi)|, \tag{D.2}$$

where $\xi \in \Omega_n$, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}_b - \xi$, and D^l denotes any lth-order pure or mixed derivative. Now defining

$$\tilde{e}_r^{(l,n)} = \frac{k^l n^l}{l!} \sup_{\xi \in \Omega_n} |D^l f(\xi)| \geq \frac{k^l n^l}{l!} |D^l f(\xi)|, \tag{D.3}$$

and the bound of the kernel function as

$$W(\parallel \xi - \mathbf{x}_b \parallel, 1) \leq \frac{a_0}{B_n}, \tag{D.4}$$

where $B_n = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)$ is the solid angle subtended by the surface enclosing the volume of the n-sphere defining the kernel support and Γ is the Gamma function, the bound on $\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}$ can be calculated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}\right| &\leq \left| \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda^\star \\ \mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{0}}}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^l}{l!} \int_{\Omega_n} \left[\mathbf{x}_{b\xi} \cdot \nabla_h\right]^l f(\xi) W \exp(-i2\pi \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{b}) d^n \mathbf{x}_b \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda^\star \\ \mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{0}}}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^l}{l!} \int_{\Omega_n} \left| \left[\mathbf{x}_{b\xi} \cdot \nabla_h\right]^l f(\xi) \right| W \left| \exp(-i2\pi \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \right| d^n \mathbf{x}_b \\
&\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_0}{B_n} h^l \tilde{e}_r^{(l,n)} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda^\star \\ \mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{0}}}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\Omega_n} \exp(-i2\pi \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{b}) d^n \mathbf{x}_b \right|,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.5}$$

where $W = W(\parallel \mathbf{x}_{\xi b} \parallel, 1)$, $\mathbf{x}_{\xi b} = \xi - \mathbf{x}_b$, and $\mathbf{x}_{\xi b} = -\mathbf{x}_{b\xi}$.

A bound to the Fourier integral can be found when $\mathcal{N} \gg 1$, that is, when the vector function $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}_b)$ varies rapidly within $\Omega_n \in \mathrm{supp}(W)$. In this case, the Fourier exponential will oscillate rapidly and therefore $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}_b)$ can be expanded about ξ to yield

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}_b) = \mathbf{b}(\xi) + \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{x}_b}(\xi)(\mathbf{x}_b - \xi). \tag{D.6}$$

Since this expression defines a linear mapping $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with $m = n$, the determinant of the Jacobian is given by relation (35) and from Eq. (39) it follows that

$$|\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{x}_b}(\xi)| = \frac{\rho(\xi)}{m(\xi, h)} = \frac{n\mathcal{N}}{B_n k^n h^n}, \tag{D.7}$$

for $h \ll 1$. Use of the above linear mapping yields for $\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{b}$ the expression

$$\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{b}(\xi) + \frac{n\mathcal{N}}{B_n k^n h^n}\mathbf{j}\cdot(\mathbf{x}_b - \xi). \tag{D.8}$$

Defining the vector $\mathbf{v}$ as

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{n\mathcal{N}}{B_n k^n h^n}(\mathbf{x}_b - \xi), \tag{D.9}$$

the Fourier integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega_n} \exp(-i2\pi\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{b}) d^n\mathbf{x}_b &= \exp[-i2\pi\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{b}(\xi)]\frac{n\mathcal{N}}{B_n k^n h^n} \\ &\times \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_n} \exp(-i2\pi\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{v}) d^n\mathbf{v}, \end{aligned}\tag{D.10}$$

where now $\tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Omega}(\mathbf{v}, h)$ is the image domain of $\Omega = \Omega(\mathbf{x}_b, h)$ due to the mapping $\mathbf{x}_b \to \mathbf{v}$. Expressing $\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{b}$ in component form, noting that $d^n\mathbf{v} = dv_1 dv_2 \cdots dv_n$, and projecting h on the axes of the n-dimensional Cartesian system, the integral on the right side of Eq. (D.10) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_n} \exp(-i2\pi\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{v}) d^n\mathbf{v} &= \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_n} \exp\left(-i2\pi\sum_{s=1}^{n} j_s v_s\right) d^n\mathbf{v} \\ &= \prod_{s=1}^{n}\int_{v_s - kh_s}^{v_s + kh_s} \exp(-i2\pi j_s v_s) dv_s, \end{aligned}\tag{D.11}$$

where the projections of the smoothing length $h_s \le h$ for $s = 1, 2, \ldots n$ and the integration interval $[v_s - kh_s, v_s + kh_s]$ represents the projection of the spherical kernel support in the domain $\tilde{\Omega}$ on the sth-axis of the n-dimensional Cartesian system. Following similar steps to those described in Appendix B for the one-dimensional case, it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{v_s - kh_s}^{v_s + kh_s} \exp(-i2\pi j_s v_s) dv_s &= \int_{v_s - kh_s}^{v_s + kh_s} \cos(2\pi j_s v_s) dv_s \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi j_s}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right), \end{aligned}\tag{D.12}$$

provided that $v_s = 1/mj_s$ for $m = 2, 3, \ldots n$. Since the maximum value of the above integral is $1/\pi j_s$ and occurs for $m = 2$, the bound on the Fourier

integral can be written as

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}\in\Lambda^\star \\ \mathbf{j}\neq\mathbf{0}}}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\Omega_n} \exp(-i2\pi\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{b}) d^n\mathbf{x}_b \right| \leq \frac{2^n}{\pi} \prod_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{j_s=1}^{\mathcal{N}'} \frac{1}{j_s}, \tag{D.13}$$

where $\mathcal{N}' = [\mathcal{N}/2kh_s]$. With this result, the bound on $\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}$ can be finally written as

$$\left|\mathcal{E}_{KS}^{(n)}\right| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{\pi} \frac{a_0 k^n h^{l+n}}{n\mathcal{N}} \tilde{e}_r^{(l,n)} \prod_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{j_s=1}^{\mathcal{N}'} \frac{1}{j_s}, \tag{D.14}$$

which demonstrates inequality (43).

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by ABACUS [under CONACYT grant number EDOMEX-2011-C01-165873]; the Departamento de Ciencias Básicas e Ingeniería (CBI) of the Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Azcapotzalco (UAM-A); and the Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC) through internal funds.

References

References

[1] J. Bonet, T.-S. L. Lok, Variational and momentum preservation aspects of smooth particle hydrodynamics formulations, Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng. 180 (1999) 97–115.

[2] J. K. Chen, J. E. Beraun, C. J. Jih, Completeness of corrective smoothed particle method for linear elastodynamics, Comput. Mech. 24 (1999) 273–285.

[3] M. B. Liu, G. R. Liu, K. Y. Lam, Constructing smoothing functions in smoothed particle hydrodynamics with applications, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 155 (2003) 263–284.

[4] G. M. Zhang, R. C. Batra, Modified smoothed particle hydrodynamics method and its application to transient problems, Comput. Mech. 34 (2004) 137–146.

[5] M. B. Liu, G. R. Liu, Restoring particle consistency in smoothed particle hydrodynamics, Appl. Numer. Math. 56 (2006) 19–36.

[6] S. Litvinov, X. Y. Hu, N. A. Adams, Towards consistence and convergence of conservative sph approximations, J. Comput. Phys. 301 (2015) 394–401.

[7] S. Sibilla, An algorithm to improve consistency in smoothed particle hydrodynamics, Comput. Fluids 118 (2015) 148–158.

[8] B. B. Moussa, J. P. Vila, Convergence of sph method for scalar nonlinear conservation laws, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 37 (3) (2000) 863–887.

[9] N. J. Quinlan, M. Basa, M. Lastiwka, Truncation error in mesh-free particle methods, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng. 66 (2006) 2064–2085.

[10] G. L. Vaughan, T. R. Healy, K. R. Bryan, A. D. Sneyd, R. M. Gorman, Completness, conservation and error in sph for fluids, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng. 56 (2008) 37–62.

[11] J. I. Read, T. Hayfield, O. Agertz, Resolving mixing in smoothed particle hydrodynamics, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 405 (2010) 1513–1530.

[12] R. Fatehi, M. T. Manzari, Error estimation in smoothed particle hydrodynamics and a new scheme for second derivatives, Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (2011) 482–498.

[13] L. Schwartz, Théorie des Distributions, Hermann, Paris, 1966.

[14] J. J. Monaghan, Smoothed particle hydrodynamics, Rep. Prog. Phys. 68 (2005) 1703–1759.

[15] L. D. G. Sigalotti, J. Klapp, O. Rendón, C. A. Vargas, F. P. na Polo, On the kernel and particle consistency in smoothed particle hydrodynamics, Appl. Numer. Math. 108 (2016) 242–255.

[16] D. A. Fulk, A numerical analysis of smoothed particle hydrodynamics, Phd thesis, School of Engineering of the Air Force Institute of Technology, Air University, Montgomery, Alabama, USA (1994).

[17] J. J. Monaghan, Particle methods for hydrodynamics, Comput. Phys. Rep. 3 (1985) 71–124.

[18] Q. Zhu, L. Hernquist, Y. Li, Numerical convergence in smoothed particle hydrodynamics, Astrophys. J. 800 (1) (2015) id. 6, 13pp.

[19] H. Wendland, Piecewise polynomial, positive definite and compactly supported radial functions of minimal degree, Adv. Comput. Math. 4 (1995) 389–396.

[20] W. Dehnen, H. Aly, Improving convergence in smoothed particle hydrodynamics simulations without pairing instability, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 425 (2) (2012) 1068–1082.

[21] Y. F. Meyer, Measures with locally finite support and spectrum, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 113 (12) (2016) 3152–3158.

[22] H. Woźniakowski, Average case complexity of multivariate integration, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 24 (1) (1991) 185–193.